Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/659,520	PHILYAW, JEFFRY JOVAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143		

	Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.	(3)			
(2) Gregory M. Howison (Reg. No. 30,646).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 December 2007</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	· :]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 10, 14, and 27.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Under the principle of compact prosecution, a telephone interview with the applicant's representative, Gregory M. Howison (Reg. No. 30,646) was initiated by the examiner on December 17, 2007. Applicant is urged to rewrite the claim limitations based on his/her expertise so long as the claim 10 subject matter is included in all independent claims and resolving the double patenting rejection on November 30, 2005 by filling the Terminal Disclaimer. The proposed amendment and Terminal Disclaimer will accelerate prosecution by placing the application in condition for allowance.